

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 09/759,777 01/12/2001 Maria Isabel Gonzalez 5771-P1-01-BD 9663 09/03/2002 7590 Warner-Lambert Company **EXAMINER** 2800 Plymouth Road BAHAR, MOJDEH Ann Arbor, MI 48105 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617 DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023 |
www.uspto.gov

APPL	LICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORN	EY DOC	KETN
			•				,
Γ			7.		EXAMIN	ER	 -
	٠		•				
	*			ART UNIT		PAPER I	NUMBI
•	٠		÷	5.45			
				DATE MAILED	•		i
	Not	ice of Non-	Çompliant Amendment (3	7 CED 4 49	4 \		
		7/	lan	•	•		
the form 1238 O.	The amendment required und G. 77, Sept. 19,	ler 37 CFR 1.121, a	is considered non-compliant b is amended on September 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed.	ecause it has not be Reg. 54603, Sept.	een submit 8, 2000, a	ted in nd	
	1. The amend 37 CFR 1.121	ment does not includ (b)(1)(ii).	de a clean version of the replacement paragr	aph(s)/section(s).			
	2. The amenda 37 CFR 1.121	ment does not includ (b)(1)(iii)	de a marked-up version of the replacement pa	aragraph(s)/section	n(s).		
×	3. The amenda	ment does not includ	de a clean version of the amended claim(s). 3	7 CFR 1.121(c)(1)	i)		
	4. The amendr	nent does not includ	de a marked-up version of the amended claim	(s). 37 CFR 1.121(cX1)(ii)		
	5. Other	a allactores	nt			•	
			· · ·				
	PRELIMINA	RY AMENDME	NT: Unless applicant re-submits the preli	minary amendme	nt in com	pliance	
	may commen	ce without entry o	hin ONE MONTH of the mail date of this of the originally proposed preliminary amound this ONE MONTH time limit is not ext	endment. This not	on on the ti∝ is not	merits an	
X	fide, applicandate of this ne	it is given a TIME otice, whichever is	I-FINAL ACTION: Since the above ment PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIR solves, within which to supply the omiss IONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE	RTY (30) DAYS is	from the i	mailing to	
For yo (MPE)	ur convenie P Bookmarl	ence, attached k Bulletin on "	to this correspondence is a copy of Simplified Amendment Practice?	of an informat ').	tional fl	yer	

Legal Instruments Examiner

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area.

Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.



Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

Amendment by

paragraph/claim

replacement in clean form.

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Official
Gazette on
September 19, 2000,
1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19,
2000). The PBG rule
package makes a number
of revisions to Title 37.

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http:// www.uspto.gov/web/ offices/dcom/olia/pbg/ index.html.

Areas and individuals primarily affected by this rule change include:
(1) Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

MPEP 714+ & 1302.04



A R K

4